

I would like to know what is the procedure to be adopted and how many days are set apart. I don't agree to the suggestion for limiting the time. This is a subject in which every member has to do full justice and has to express whatever he has to say. Limiting the time means not giving fair chance to every member to express what he feels about the subject. As a matter of fact, every one of us feels very strongly about it. So, if time is wanted we can ask Government to extend the time for one or two days. If time is limited, it will be very difficult for us to deal with the subject.

12-30 P. M.

**Sri A. V. NARASIMHA REDDY** (Bangalore South).—We are speaking on a very vital matter. I therefore request the Chair to give such time as every Hon'ble Member requires and see that as many days are allowed as are required for the debate on this subject.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—If we allow even a week, I do not think it is possible to finish.

**Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY** (Bagepalli-Gudibanda).—If it is not a week, let it be a month. We are going to make the history of the State.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Speeches that are going to be made from now onwards will have a lot of repetition. If we avoid that, I think we can finish soon.

## MYSORE AGRICULTURAL INCOME-TAX BILL, 1955.

### Presentation of Select Committee Report.

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ (ಹುಲಿಯೂರು ದುರ್ಗ).— Fact Finding ಸಮಿತಿಯ ವರದಿ ಮೇರೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ, ಮೈಸೂರು ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಇನ್‌ಕಮ್‌ಟ್ಯಾಕ್ಸ್ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನ Select Committee ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ Chairman ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಸಭೆ ಮುಂದೆ ಮಂಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಾನು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಬೇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM** (Jagalur).— I raise a point of order. Can a Member deputise for a Minister?

**Mr. SPEAKER.** A Member of the Committee can do it.

\***Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.**—Where is the authorisation? Has the Chief Minister given a letter that he should be deputed? This is the first time that such a thing is happening. A colleague may move it. But a member deputising for the Chief Minister or any Minister—this is the first time that such a thing is happening. One Minister may delegate power to another Minister. But I do not know if the Hon'ble Member has been delegated that power of moving the motion.

\***Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA** (Minister for Home and Industries).—The rules say that it must be a member of the Select Committee.

**Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.**—I suggest that the Chief Minister be here so that he may move it.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—He is not here. The rules provide for the other members to present the Report. There is nothing wrong in presenting it.

**Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.**—Apart from that it would have been advantageous to the House if the Chief Minister who is in charge, were to be here, to explain the salient features of the changes.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It is not now. But it will be done at the consideration stage.

**Sri N. HUCHMASTHY GOWDA.**—Sir, on behalf of the Chairman of the Committee, I beg to present the Report of the Select Committee on the Mysore Agricultural Income-tax Bill, 1955.

**Sri M. LINGANNA** (Nanjangud).— I want to know whether time had been extended for the presentation of the Report.

\***Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY** (Chitaldrug).—Sir, I would like to know the ruling of the Chair on the point raised by Sri Linganna. The Select Committee report on this Agricultural Income-tax Bill was to have been presented to the House yesterday, and no time was extended. I was absent for the first fifty minutes yesterday in the beginning. I would like to know whether any time extension was given.

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಎನ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ನನ್ನ ಸಾಯಿಂಕಾಲ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಕೆಲಸಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ಮುಗಿಯುವುದರೊಳಗಾಗಿ, ಈ ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕಲಾಪಗಳು ಅಪ್ಪೆರು ಅಗಿದ್ದುದರಿಂದ, ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.)  
ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಪಡೆದು ಈ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ  
ಇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮುಲ್ಕು ಗೋವಿಂದರದ್ಡಿ.—ಈ ಸಭೆ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ  
ಕೊಡದೆ ಇದರೇ, ಅದನ್ನು ಒಂದು ದಿವಸ ಮುಂಚೆ  
ಯಾಕಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಲ್ಲ? Chairman ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ  
ಒಬ್ಬ ಮೆಂಬರು ವರದಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಈ  
ರಿತಿ ಹೊಸ ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುವುದಾದರೆ  
ನಾನು ಏನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So far as the pre-  
vious matter is concerned, under rule  
68 (1) any member of the Select  
Committee can present the Report.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY.—The  
point is whether any extension of time  
was given. He was pleading that it  
might be condoned.

\*Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK (Mola-  
kalmuru).—Sir, I was about to submit  
that the power under rule 68 is given to  
the Speaker to permit. Since the Com-  
mittee meeting was over yesterday  
evening, today, this is the earliest  
time to present the Report. Therefore,  
there is no question of any objection  
being tenable.

\*Sri M. LINGANNA —Here, of course,  
the question as to the admissibility or  
otherwise of the Bill or the far-reaching  
powers of the Speaker are not ques-  
tioned. The question here is that the  
Mover of Report of the Select  
Committee did not seek permission of  
the Chair to introduce the Bill to the  
effect that he could not present it  
yesterday.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—“ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ  
ಪಡೆದು” ಎಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri M. LINGANNA.—It was only  
when Sri Mulka Govinda Reddy took  
objection that the Hon'ble Member  
sought for permission. He never sought  
permission earlier to present the report.  
Now, after moving the presentation  
motion, he has stated that he had  
formally requested the Chair outside the  
House to place the Report today before  
the House. I am afraid that such a  
procedure may not be conducive to  
good parliamentary procedure. We  
have no objection to his presenting the  
Report with the permission of the Chair.  
We are not afraid to discuss the Report  
of the Select Committee. By raising  
this particular point, we are not  
putting any obstacle to proceed with  
the discussions on the Select Committee

Report. Here is a point where the  
mover has not sought the permission  
regularly from the Chair to introduce  
the Report of the Select Committee  
though time had lapsed. That is  
entirely in the hands of the Chair to  
decide. As far as my knowledge goes,  
there is nothing provided in the  
procedure to regularise such lacunae  
either by the Chairman of the Select  
Committee or any other member of the  
Select Committee.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಹುಚ್ಚಮಾಸ್ತಿಗೌಡ.—ಪ್ರಾರಂಭದಲ್ಲಿ  
ಈ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಕೊಡ  
ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆ.

\*Sri M. MADIAH (Nanjangud—  
Scheduled Castes).—Regarding the  
point of order, when the Hon'ble  
Member who presented the Select  
Committee Report did so with the  
permission of the Chair to present the  
same, nobody took objection that he  
did not seek permission. The presen-  
tation of the Report of the Select  
Committee is included in the agenda  
for today. The Hon'ble Member of  
the Select Committee sought for your  
permission to present the Report. So,  
there is no point of order raised by  
Sri Linganna.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The point is this.  
The objection raised is that the Hon'ble  
Member who presented the Report of  
this Bill did not seek the permission  
of the Chair and did not make a  
statement to that effect. Of course,  
he sought my permission before. It  
would have been better if he had made  
that statement in the House. Since  
the House adjourned before five o'clock  
yesterday, I have permitted him to  
present it today.

#### DEBATE ON THE FACT FINDING COMMITTEE REPORT.

\*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಸಿ. ನಾಗಯ್ಯಪ್ಪ (ಗೋರಿಬದ  
ನೂರು).—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು Fact Finding ಕಮಿ  
ಟಿಯ ವರದಿ ಮೇಲೆ ಒಂದು motion ಇಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ.  
ಅಥವಾ ಒಂದು formal resolution ಇಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ.  
ನೀವು ನೀವು ಕಿತ್ತಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ ಎಂದು ಈ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು  
ನಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ನಮಗೆ  
ಏನು ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವಾಗುತ್ತದೋ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.  
ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ನಾವು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ  
ನಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಗಳನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ  
ಹೇಳುವುದಾದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೇಳುವವರು ಯಾರು